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ABSTRACT

The aim of the present study was to investigate the incidence and causes of ovarian inactivity and evaluate the efficacy of single administration of GnRH for treatment of inactive ovaries in dairy cows. Two experiments were carried out to fulfill the aims of the study. In experiment 1, 122 cows which had not shown estrous signs ≥ 45 after calving were examined twice by transrectal ultrasonography at a 7-10 day interval to diagnose the causes of anestrous and assess the incidence of ovarian inactivity. In Experiment 2, blood samples were collected from 30 cows with ovarian inactivity and 13 cyclic cows to compare the blood concentration of insulin, glucose, calcium and phosphorus between the two types of cows. Cows (n=30) suffering from ovarian inactivity were treated with either 500 µg gonadorelin acetate intramuscular (G1; n=20) or saline intramuscular (G2; n=10). The ovaries of cows in G1 and G2 were examined by transrectal palpation twice before and twice after the treatment at a week interval. After transrectal palpation, blood samples were collected from cows for assessment of progesterone, insulin and glucose. Also the cows were observed for estrous signs and those cows showed estrus were inseminated. In Experiment 1, silent or unobserved estrus (47.5%), inactive ovaries (41%), cystic ovaries (9%) and pyometra (2.5%) were the reasons of anestrous in the
studied dairy cows. In Experiment 2, there were significant differences in the blood concentrations of insulin ($P < 0.0005$) and glucose ($P < 0.01$) between cyclic and non-cyclic cows. On the other hand, there were non-significant differences in the blood concentrations of calcium and phosphorus between the cyclic and non-cyclic cows. Estrus induction rates within 14 days after treatment were significantly ($P < 0.05$) higher in G1 (60%) than in G2 (10%). Seven of 12 cows (58.3%) responded to administration of GnRH in G1 and the responded cow (1/1) in G2 were pregnant at Day 60 after AI. In conclusion, ovarian inactivity is the main reason of postpartum anestrous in dairy cows. Lower level of insulin and glucose might be among the reasons of ovarian inactivity. Single administration of GnRH is reasonably effective for treatment of ovarian inactivity in dairy cows.

INTRODUCTION

Anestrus in abroad term indicates the lack of expression of estrus signs despite efficient estrus detection (Peter et al., 2009). The forms of clinical anestrus are inactive ovaries silent ovulation cystic ovarian degeneration and persistent CL (Mwaanga and Janowski, 2000).

Ovarian inactivity is the most common cause (49.2%) of postpartum ovarian dysfunctions and representing 94% of delayed postpartum ovarian cyclicity (Opsomer et al., 1998). Season of calving, calving problems, extended length of of the previous dry period, severe negative energy balance, and health problems, i.e. ketosis, during the first month of lactation increased the risk of delayed postpartum ovarian cyclicity (Opsomer et al., 2000).

Several treatments are adopted for treatment of ovarian inactivity in cows including administration of single or multiple dosages of GnRH.
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(Dhoble and Gupta., 1986, Hussien et al., 1992), GnRH in conjunction with PGF2α (Twagiramungu et al., 1992), ov synth (Stevenson et al., 2008) and doublesynch (Öztürk et al., 2010) protocols and controlled intravaginal progesterone releasing devices alone or in conjunction with estradiol benzoat, PGF22α, eCG or ov synth protocol (Xu et al., 1997, Lopez-Gatius et al., 2001; Pursley et al., 2001, Rhodes et al., 2002). Although exogenous progestagens are considered the most appropriate therapy for inactives ovaries in dairy cows (Peter et al., 2009), these intravaginal progesterone devices are not permissible in everywhere. The aim of the present study was to investigate the the incidence of causes of postpartum anestrous in dairy cows and evaluate the efficacy of single administration of GnRH for treatment of inactive ovaries in dairy cows.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Experiment 1:

The aim of Experiment 1 was to investigate the causes of postpartum anestrous and to determine the incidence of each cause in Holstein-Friesian cows.

2.1.1. Animals:

One hundred-twenty two Holstein-Friesian cows which had not shown estrus signs $\geq$ 45 days postpartum were considered as anestrous (Lamming and Darwash 1998) and included in Experiment 1 during the period from May 2006 to August 2009. They were housed in open yards with shelters and were milked three times per day by milking machine. The estrus was observed in the animals by experienced person 4 times daily for 30 minutes. Cows were fed a ration containing protein: 18%,
energy: 1.6 k calorie /kg dry , moisture 60% and 40% dry matter. Each animal eats 8 kg concentrate, 3 kg hay, 3 kg wheat straw and 3 kg silage per day. The mean (± SD) parity, milk yield and days in milk of the animals were 2.21± 1.64, 33.09 ± 7.83 Kg and 70.34 ± 29.85 days, respectively.

2.1.2. Ultrasonography:

The anestrous cows were examined twice at a 7-10 day interval by transrectal ultrasonography (5MHz endorectal linear transducer, Ultrascan 1700, Noveko Echographs Inc., Quebec, Canada) in order to diagnose the cause of anestrous. Ovarian cysts were identified as smooth follicle-like fluctuating structures, larger than 2.4 cm in the diameter and persist for more than 7-10 days in the absence or presence of CL (Hanzen, et al., 2000). Follicular cyst appeared as anechoic structure with thin (< 3 mm) or thick (≥ 3 mm) echogenic wall in follicle theca cyst and luteinized cyst, respectively (Carroll, et al., 1990). A mature CL appeared as a grayish echogenic area with a line of demarcation between it and ovarian stroma (Kastelic, et al., 1990). Anestrous cows which had neither corpus luteum nor cystic structure in two consecutive examinations per rectum at a 7-day interval were diagnosed as having inactive ovaries (Markusfeld, 1987). The ovarian inactivity appeared by the ultrasonography as a small structure, the cortex appeared hyper-echogenic and free from the corpus luteum and could be differentiated from the hypo-echogenic medulla (Boyed 1995). Ultrasonographic diagnosis of pyometra was based on detection of high volume echogenic uterine content with CL on the ovaries (Kahn and Leidl, 1989).

2.2. Experiment 2:
The aim of Experiment 2 was to compare the blood level of insulin, glucose, calcium and phosphorus between cyclic and acyclic (inactive ovaries) cows and to evaluate the efficacy of administration of GnRH analogue for inducing estrus in cows affected with inactive ovaries.

2.2.1 Animals:

Forty-three Holstein-Friesian cows (30 cows affected with inactive ovaries and 13 cows were cyclic) which had calved more than 45 days were used in this experiment. The body condition scores of the cows were estimated by the same operator according to (Ferguson, et al., 1994). The management of the animals was as that mentioned in Experiment 1. The mean (±S.D.) parity, body condition score, milk yield and days in milk in cyclic cows were 3.08 ± 1.18, 3.65 ± 0.43, 30.77 ± 4.96 Kg and 73.23 ± 36.68 days, respectively and in cows with ovarian inactivity were 3.80 ± 1.30, 3.78 ± 0.44, 29.67 ±10.17 Kg and 144.67 ± 82.87 days.

2.2.2. Palpation per rectum:

The ovaries of all cows were examined by transrectal palpation. Those animals which had no corpus luteum on the ovaries were re-examined 7-10 day later to confirm the ovarian inactivity. Also, cows suffering from ovarian inactivity were examined 2 times after the treatment at a 7- day interval in order to detect the response to the treatment.

2.2.3. Treatment:
Cows suffering from smooth inactive ovaries were randomly divided into two groups. Cows in Group 1 (n=20) were administrated 5 ml GONAbreed®(Gonadorelin acetate, 100 µg/mL; Parnell Laboratories New Zealand Ltd, New Zealand) intramuscular. Cows in Group 2 (n=10) were administrated 5 ml saline intramuscular and considered as control group.

2.2.4. Blood sampling:

Immediately after transrectal palpation, 4 blood samples were taken at 7 day-intervals from jugular vein of acyclic (ovarian inactivity) cows into vacutainer tubes for assessment of insulin, glucose, calcium (Ca) and phosphorus (P). The first blood sampling was 7 days before treatment (Day -7) and the second sampling was just before treatment (Day 0). The third and fourth blood samplings were at Day 7 and 14 after treatment, respectively. In addition, blood samples were taken once from cyclic cows to compare the concentration of insulin, glucose, calcium (Ca) and phosphorus (P) with those of acyclic cows at Day -7. Since there was a significant difference in days in milk (73.23 ± 36.68 vs.144.67 ± 82.87 days) between cyclic and acyclic cows and thereby to avoid the effect of days in milk on the blood concentration of insulin, glucose, Ca and P, the data of 16 acyclic cows which had days in milk (89.0 ± 31.70) similar to that of cyclic cows were used in the comparison. The blood samples were placed in an ice box and transferred to laboratory. Blood samples were centrifuged at 1500 r.p.m. for 30 minutes. The harvested sera were stored at -20°C till hormonal and macro-elements analysis.

2.2.5. Hormonal, glucose and macro-elements assays:
a. **Progesterone radioimmunoassay:**

Estimation of P4 was carried out to confirm ovarian inactivity (before treatment) and the response to treatment (after treatment). It was measured using radioimmunoassay diagnostic kits (Diagnostic Products Incorporation, Los Angles, USA) according to *Gautary et al. (1981)*. The sensitivity of P4 assay was 0.2 ng/ml. The intra assay coefficient of variation of low P4 (0.81 ng/mL), medium (3.9 ng/mL) and high concentration (7.2 ng/mL) were 16%, 8.1% and 7.2 %, respectively. The inter-assay coefficients of variation of the low, medium and high P4 concentration were 16%, 7.9% and 5.8%, respectively.

b. **Insulin assay:**

It was measured by using enzyme immuno-assay (EIA 2935, DRG International, USA) according to *Perryy and Grossman (1997)*. The sensitivity of insulin assay was 1.76 µ U/ml. The intra assay coefficient of low insulin (17.45 µU) and high concentration (66.43 µU) were 2.6 % and 1.79 % respectively. The inter-assay coefficients of variation low (17.36 µU) and high insulin concentrations (66.9 µU) were 2.88 % and 5.99 %, respectively.

c. **Glucose, calcium and phosphorous:**

Glucose, calcium and phosphorous were measured in blood serum by spectrophotometer using kits of Europe contact (Steinocker 20, Aichwald, Germany).

2.2.6. **Reproductive management and fertility:**
Cows of GnRH-treated and control groups were observed for estrous signs four times throughout the day. Estrus induction rate was determined based on visual observation of estrus and confirmed by change in blood P4 concentration. Cows were assumed to resume ovarian cyclicity when P4 level was ≥ 1 ng/mL (Lopez-Gatius et al., 2001). Cows were inseminated approximately 8-10 h after the first signs of estrus, Pregnancy diagnosis was made by transrectal palpation at 60 days post-insemination and the conception rate was calculated.

2.2.7. Statistical Analyses:

Student $t$-test was used to compare the means of insulin, glucose, Ca and P concentration between cyclic and acyclic cows. Paired $t$-test was used to compare means of insulin and glucose concentration before and after treatment. Fisher's exact test was used to compare the proportions of estrus induction rate and conception rate between GnRH-treated and saline groups (Petrie and Watson, 1999).

RESULTS

3.1. Experiment 1:

3.1.1. Causes of anestrous:

A total of 122 cows were diagnosed as anestrous. Silent or unobserved estrus (47.5%), the inactive ovaries (41%), cystic ovaries (9%) and pyometra (2.5%) were the reasons of anestrous in the studied dairy cows (Table 1).
Table (1): Number (No.) and frequency (%) of the causes of anestrous in dairy cows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Causes of anestrous</th>
<th>Number and frequency</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silent or unobserved estrus</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>47.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inactive ovaries</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystic ovaries</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyometra</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>122</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Experiment 2:

There were significant differences in the blood concentrations of insulin ($P < 0.0005$) and glucose ($P < 0.01$) between cyclic and non-cyclic cows. On the other hand, there were non-significant differences in the blood concentrations of calcium and phosphorus between the cyclic and non-cyclic cows (Table 2).

Table (2): Concentrations (Mean± S.D.) of insulin, glucose, calcium (Ca) and phosphorus (P) in cyclic and acyclic cows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Cyclic cows (n=13)</th>
<th>Acyclic cows (n=16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (µU/mL)</td>
<td>$27 \pm 5.42^a$</td>
<td>$18 \pm 3.34^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mg/dl)*</td>
<td>$80.71 \pm 1.07^c$</td>
<td>$70.32 \pm 5.44^d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca (mg/dl)</td>
<td>$12.05 \pm 1.37$</td>
<td>$11.66 \pm 1.52$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (mg/dl)</td>
<td>$8.23 \pm 0.66$</td>
<td>$7.84 \pm 1.14$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Number of cyclic and acyclic cows were 10 and 6, respectively.

$^a,b P < 0.0005, \quad ^c,d P < 0.01$
3.2.1. Response to the treatments:

Twelve of 20 acyclic cows (60%) showed estrus within two weeks (4 cows within the first and 8 within the 2nd week) after administration of GnRH in G1. On the other hand, one of ten acyclic cows (10%) showed estrus (within the first week) after administration of saline in G2. There was a significant difference (P <0.05) in the proportion of responding cows (showing estrus) between G1 and G2. Seven of 12 cows (58.3%) responded to administration of GnRH in G1 and the responded cow (1/1) in G2 were pregnant at Day 60 after AI (Table 3).

**Table (3):** Estrus induction rate and conception rate in cows with inactive ovaries treated with GnRH (G1) or with saline (G2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Estrus induction rate</th>
<th>Conception rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weeks (W) after treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W1</td>
<td>W2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1 (n= 20)</td>
<td>4/20 (20%)</td>
<td>8/20 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2 (n= 10)</td>
<td>1/10 (10%)</td>
<td>0/10 (1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Before treatment, the average blood concentrations of insulin was non-significantly different between G1 and G2. However, it was significantly (P< 0.05) higher in G1 than that of G2 after treatment (Table 6). In cows responding to the administration of GnRH, the average blood concentrations of insulin after treatment was significantly (P< 0.01) higher than that before the treatment. However, a non-significant increase was observed in cows not responding to GnRH administration (Table 4). The averages glucose concentrations were similar in cows of G1 and G2 before and after treatment (Fig 1).
Table (4): Concentration of (Mean± S.D.) of insulin in GnRH- and saline-treated animals and responding and non responding animals before and after treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grouping of the animals</th>
<th>Insulin concentrations (µU/mL)</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GnRH-treated cows (n=20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17.45 ± 2.90&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>19.58 ± 2.14&lt;sup&gt;b,c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responding (n=12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17.92 ± 3.13&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>20.29 ± 1.99&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-responding (n=8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16.81 ± 2.59</td>
<td>18.5± 1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline-treated cows (n=10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17 ± 3</td>
<td>17 ± 2&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responding (n=1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-responding (n=9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16.06 ± 2.47</td>
<td>17.56± 2.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a,b</sup> P< 0.01; <sup>c,d</sup> P<0.05.

Fig.(1): Mean blood glucose and insulin concentrations in cow with ovarian inactivity before and after treatment with GnRH(G1) or saline(G2).
Averages blood P4 concentrations in G1 and G2 were similar before treatment. However, the average blood progesterone concentrations increased in cows of G1 after administration of GnRH and a significant difference (P<0.005) was observed between cows of G1 and G2 at Week 2 after treatment (Fig.2).

**DISCUSSION**

In our study, 47.5% (58/122) of anestrous cows suffered from silent heat or unobserved estrous. Similar results (40-59%) were reported in other studies (*Kalis and Van de Wiel, 1980; Claus et al., 1983*). Also, the percentage of anestrous cows (41%) suffering from ovarian inactivity was similar to that reported in an study in which ovarian inactivity represented 49.2% of postpartum ovarian dysfunctions.
(Opsomer et al., 1998). Regarding ovarian cysts, its incidence in our study is within the range (6 to 30 %) reported in dairy cows (Garveric, 1997), considering that 80% of cow with ovarian cysts experience anestrous (Garveric, 2007).

Recently it has been demonstrated that delaying the first postpartum ovulation is associated with a lower circulating insulin concentration in high milk yield cows (Gong et al., 2002). Also, a case-control study demonstrated lower circulating concentrations of glucose and thyroxin and higher concentrations of urea in anovulatory anestrous cows, compared with contemporary cows that had resumed estrous cycles (McDougall et al., 1993). Theses findings are in agreement with that of the present study, whereas the insulin (27 vs. 18 µIU, P< 0.0005) and glucose (80.7 vs. 70.32 mg/dl, P< 0.01) concentrations were significantly higher in cyclic than acyclic cows. It is likely that increased insulin concentrations promoted the differentiation and maturation of dominant follicle, thereby increasing the chance of these dominant follicles ovulating in response of LH surge (Beam and Butler, 1999). It is demonstrated that, glucose availability influences both tonic and surge modes of LH secretion through its role in modulating GnRH (Diskin et al., 2003).

The blood glucose and insulin concentrations obtained in the present study are similar to that reported in Friesian cows between Days 30 to 90 postpartum (Accorsi et al., 2005, Tanaka et al., 2008).

In our study, single administration of GnRH to cows with ovarian inactivity induced estrous in a significant higher percentage of cows (60% vs. 10%) when compared to cows received saline. A lower
response rate (ovulation and expression of estrus signs) (22.4%) to GnRH administration was reported in Hariana crossed with Holstein, Friesian, Brown Swiss or Jersey half-breed crosses (Dhoble and Gupta, 1986). In contrast, a higher response rate (inducing cyclicity; 91.7%) was reported 6 days after administration of GnRH to acyclic beef cows (Twagiramungu et al., 1992) however, non of cows responded to GnRH showed signs of estrus. The difference in the response rate among studies might be attributed to the difference in experimental designs, the breed, percentage of cows having a dominant follicle at the time of GnRH administration and the postpartum interval (Rhodes et al., 2003, Lopez-Gatius et al., 2008, Peter et al., 2009).

The interesting finding in the present study is that the average blood insulin concentration was significantly higher in cows of G1 than that in cows of G2 after administration of GnRH or saline, respectively. An effect on insulin might have occurred after administration of GnRH. To the best of our knowledge, there is no literature dealing with effect of GnRH on insulin. Further studies are required to investigate the relationship between GnRH and insulin. The significant increase of blood insulin only in GnRH-responded cows confirms our finding concerning increase of insulin in cyclic than in acyclic cows and give a support to the suggested role of insulin for growth of the follicle and enhancing the first postpartum ovulation (Beam and Butler, 1999; Gong et al., 2002).

In conclusion, ovarian inactivity is the main reason of postpartum anestrous in dairy cows. Lower level of insulin and glucose might be among the reasons of ovarian inactivity. Single administration of GnRH is reasonably effective for treatment of ovarian inactivity in dairy cows.
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في التجربة الأولى كانت أسباب عدم الشياع في الأبقار التي شملتها الدراسة كالتالي: شياع صامت أو شبق أغلبه العامل (47.5%) وحمول المبايض (41%) وتكيس المبايض (9%) وتفتيح الرحم (2.5%).

في التجربة الثانية: كان تركيز الأنسولين والجلوكوز في الدم أقل بصورة معنوية (P<0.0005) و(0.1<P<0.01) على التوالي) في الأبقار التي تعاني من حمول المبايض عن مثيلاتها ذات المبايض النشطة ولكن لم توجد اختلافات معنوية في تركيزات الكالسيوم والفسفور في الدم بين نوعي الأبقار. نسبة إحداث الشبق في غضون 14 يوما بعد العلاج كانت أعلى معنوية (P<0.05) في المجموعة الأولى (60%) عن المجموعة الثانية (10%).

سبع بقرات من أصل 12 بقرة ممن ظهرت عليهم إعراض الشياع في المجموعة الأولى وبغرزة واحدة من المجموعة الثانية التي ظهر عليها أعراض الشياع أصبحوا عشرا و بعد 60 يوم من التلقيح الاصطناعي.

الخصائصية

حمل المبايض هو أحد الأسباب الرئيسية لعدم الشياع يعد الولادة والختام في مستوى الأنسولين والجلوكوز من بين أسباب حمول المبايض في الأبقار الحليب. حقق هرمون الحائط المنثلي GnRH يمكن أن يكون فعال بشكل معقول لعلاج حمول المبايض في الأبقار الحليب.