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ABSTRACT

Rotaviral infections are common cause of economically important calf diarrhea through out the world, Specific, rapid and accurate diagnosis are required to control the disease and animal loss, dipstick (immunochromatographical test) and enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) were used for detection of rotavirus in fecal samples from fifty diarrheic calves were collected from private farms of Alexandria Governorate Bovine Rota virus was detected in 30% of sample which collected from the affected animals using dipstick and ELISA- Dipstick is economical, specific, rapid, easy to use, easy to read and doesn't require equipments or skilled personnel and can be used as alternative for ELISA in under developed countries, small number of daily samples and in laboratories lack. ELISA can detect small amounts of bovine rotaviral particles in faeces, can be completed in less than 4 hours and can be used as routine diagnostic for screening of large numbers of specimens.
INTRODUCTION

Bovine rotavirus (RV) is one of the viruses which replicates in the calf intestine (Woode et al., 1974) and this agent alone, or in combination with other enteropathogens, can contribute to field outbreaks of disease (Woode, 1982). Rotavirus destroys small intestinal enterocytes resulting in diarrhea which is accompanied by a profuse fecal shedding of virus (Mebus et al., 1971).

Rotaviruses are an important cause of acute gastroenteritis in infants and young children in developed countries (Davidson et al., 1975 and Kapikian et al., 1976) In less developed countries, rotavirus infections often result in diarrhea, dehydration and death (Walsh and waaren., 1979 and Black et al., 1980).

Rotavirus is non enveloped viruses belonging to the genus Rotavirus in the family Reoviridae. Group A rotaviruses are the major causes of enteric disease in calve (Kapikian et al., 1986 and lucchelli et al., 1992).

Laboratory diagnosis of rotavirus infection in calves has been based on identification of viral particles, antigens or nucleic acids in fecal samples (Maes et al., 2003).

Specific, rapid and accurate methods are required for diagnosis of rotavirus (RV) as a cause of Diarrhea to control the disease and prevent animal loss (Benfield etal., 1984). Theses include ELISA (Czeruy and Eichhorn, 1989 and Athanassious etal., 1994), electron microscopy (EM), virus isolation (VI), passive hemagglutination, immunoelectroph-
oresis, latex agglutination (LA) tests (Haikala et al., 1983 and Kaminjolo and Adesiyum, 1994) and reverse transcriptase- polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) (Maes et al., 2003).

In this study we use bovine Rotavirus check kit which is an immunochromatographical method and ELISA (commercial kit) for rapid detection of bovine rotavirus in fecal samples.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

1- **Samples:**

Fifty fecal samples of diarrheic calves less than one month from private farms of Alexandria Governorate. Samples obtained during the period from September to December 2011, coded and stored at -20ºC.

2- **Techniques for fecal examination:**

**Bovine Rota Dipstick:**

The test was performed with a homogenous immunochromatogrphic system with gold particules (Cypress diagnostics, Belgium). It is a ready to use test which only needs a fecal samples dilution with the supplied ready to use dilution buffer. The immunochromatographic sticks is coated with a polyclonal immunoreagent specific for rotavirus. Liquid sample together and gold conjugate both migrate by capillarity and reach the first specific anti-Rotavirus polyclonal reagent. If Rotavirus is present in the sample, it is blocked and immunoreaction appears as a red- blue line. As sample still migrates, it reaches the second non-specific anti-mouse IgG which gives rise to a second red-blue line. This rear line
indicates that the chromatography has been developed without hindrance. It appears also with negative samples.

**ELISA Kit (Cypress diagnostic, Belgium):**

The test uses 96-well microtitration plates sensitized by specific antibodies for the bovine Rotavirus type A. These antibodies allow a specific capture of the corresponding pathogens, which are present in the fecal samples. Rows A, C, E, G have been sensitized with these antibodies and rows B, D, F, H are containing non-specific antibodies. These control rows allow the differentiation between specific immunological reaction and non-specific bindings to eliminate false positives. Fecal samples are diluted in dilution buffer and dispensed in the wells and incubated in the micro plates for 60 minutes at room temperature (18-25°C).

After that, the plate is washed and incubated for 60 minutes with the conjugate-peroxidase labelled anti-Rotavirus type A specific monoclonal antibody. The plate is washed again and the chromogen (Tetra-Trimethyl Benzidine TMB) is added. If Rotavirus type A is present in the tested faeces, the conjugate remains bound to the corresponding micro wells and the enzyme catalyses the transformation of the colorless chromogen into a pigmented compound. The intensity of the resulting blue colour is proportionate to the titer of Rotavirus in the sample. Enzymatic reaction can be stopped by acidification and resulting optical density at 450nm can be recorded using a photometer. The signals recorded for the negative control microwells are subtracted from the corresponding positive microwells.
RESULTS

Fifteen fecal samples (30%) were detected positive and 35 fecal samples (70%) were detected negative with both techniques used in the current study.
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**Fig. (1):** Showing positive strip with two red-blue lines and negative strip with one red-blue line for Rotavirus Dipstick.
**Table (1):** Results of Dipstick and ELISA Kit for BRV in the tested fecal samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Total Number</th>
<th>Positive samples</th>
<th>Negative samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dipstick</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

Rotaviral infection are proven to be a common, economically important cause of calf diarrhea throughout the world (*Theil, 1990*). So rapid, simple, and accurate diagnosis of BRV is required.

Virus isolation (VI), fluorescent antibody technique (FA) and electron microscopy (EM) are used by diagnostic laboratories for detection of BRV in faeces (*Benfield et al., 1984* and *Al-Yousif et al., 2001*). VI takes 3 to 8 days before the BRV cytopathic effect develops in the cells (*Al-Yousif et al., 2001*). FAT may fail to detect infected enterocytes in calves in the later stages of infection (*De Beer et al., 1997*). EM achieves definitive results only when greater than $10^6$ to $10^8$ virus particles per ml of faeces (*Benfield et al., 1984*). LA test is rapid and sensitive but slides must be read without delay (*Al–Yousif et al., 2002*).

ELISA is good for laboratories handling large numbers of samples per day (*Howe et al., 2008*), sensitive and can be completed in less than 4 hours (*De Beer et al., 1997*).
Immunochromatographical test (dipstick) has many advantages over other tests due to its rapidity, sensitivity, specificity, simplicity and the need for minimal training personnel and the formation of permanant lines allows the results to be read at any time convenient for the technician (Iman et al., 2009).

In the current study, we used dipstick for diagnosis of bovine rotavirus type A and commercial ELISA Kit to compare between results of the two methods.

Our data showed agreement between the results of both methods used in this study and also they agreed with results obtained by Al – Yousif et al., (2002).

On conclusion, we recommended the use of dipstick for detection of BRV type A because of its advantages which are reading with naked eye, economic, specific and easy to use, no equipments needed so it can be used for diagnosis in the filed, office or laboratory as good alternative to ELISA for routine diagnostic screening of small numbers of specimens. Also it can be used in underdeveloped countries which lack facilities for ELISA and less trained personnel. ELISA can be used for routine diagnostic screening of large numbers of daily specimens and when facilities are found in the laboratory.
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Tقييم اختبارين سريعين لتشخيص فيروس الروتا في اسهالات العجول
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قسم الفيروسات معهد بحوث صحة الحيوان - الدقي

معهد بحوث التناسليات بالهرم

تعتبر العدوى بفيروس الروتا من الأسباب الهامة من حيث التأثير على الاقتصاد والانتشار في العالم لذلك يتطلب التشخيص لهذه العدوى اختبارات أكيدة وسريعة ومخصصة لسرعة الوصول إلى حلول والتحكم في المرض والسيطرة عليه والحد من حدوث وفيات وقد تم استخدام اختبار الكروماتجرافي المناعي (dipstick) واختبار الاليزا في هذه الدراسة للكشف عن فيروس الروتا في 50 عينة براز من العجول حديثة الولادة التي بها اسهال وكانت النتيجة 30% إيجابي لفيروس الروتا في كلا من التجربتين (اختبار الكروماتجرافي المناعي واختبار الاليزا). لذا يعتبر اختبار dipstick من الاختبارات الاقتصادية والمتخصصة والسريعة سهلة الاستخدام والفحص حيث تحتاج لأقل التدريبات ولا تحتاج لأجهزة ويمكن استخدامه بالتبادل مع اختبار الاليزا في الدول النامية وفي المعامل التي تستقبل عدد محدد من العينات وتفترق لوجود إمكانيات اختبار الاليزا وعلى الجانب الآخر، يمتاز اختبار الاليزا حين توفر الإمكانيات إلى الكشف عن كميات قليلة من الفيروس في الإسهالات وهو أيضاً اختبار سريع يتم في أقل من 4 ساعات ويمكن استخدامه كاختبار روتيني للكشف عن الفيروس في الأعداد الكبيرة من العينات.