DAIRY PRODUCTS AS A SOURCE OF POTENTIALLY PATHOGENIC AEROMONAS SPECIES AND THEIR IMPORTANT CHARACTERISTICS

Esmat, I. Awad*, Thanaa, M. Elshayeb and **Madeha, A. Ayoub

*Dept. Of Food Hygiene, Fac. Vet. Med. Zagazig University

**Dept. of Microbiology (Bacteriology) Fac. Vet. Med, Kafr El-Sheikh.

ABSTRACT

One hundred-fifty random samples of fresh cream, whipped cream and kareish cheese (50 each) were examined for incidence of Aeromonas species. Aeromonas species were detected in 28%, 18% and 32% of examined samples respectively as regards every species Aeromonas hydrophila was detected in 16%,8% and 16% of examined samples respectively, Aeromonas sobria was detected in 18%, 10% and 24% of examined samples respectively and Aeromonas caviae was detected in 14%, 14% end 20% of examined samples respectively Regarding to haemolysin production, 100%, 92.31% and 41.67% of A.hydrophila, A. sobria and A. caviae produced haemolysinwhile 90%, 61.54% and 41.67% of A.hydrophila, A.sobria and A.caviae were proved to produce enterotoxin as judged by fluid accumulation in rabbit ileal loop. Concerning the production of spoilage enzymes, Aeromonas hydrophila was dominating other Aeromonas species for proteolytic and lipolytic activities comprising65% and 25% respectively. Regarding to antibiotic sensitivity against 9antimicrobial agentsA.hydrophilawas100% sensitive to chloramphenicol, tetracycline and neomycinwhileAeromonas sobria was completely resistant to ampicillin, chloramphneicol and erythromycine and Aeromonans caviae was completely resistant to ampicilin and nalidixic.

INTRODUCTION

Aeromonas species are common inhabitants of fresh water environment and have been isolated from a wide range of fresh foods such as raw milk and milk products. Although it is not resistant to chlorine it is found in potable water and it is a transient component of the gut flora of humans and other animals (*Adams and Moss, 1995*).

an Di Shenar (en 11ea, e, 101, 1 10, 2 (2005)

65

Members of the genus Aeromonas can synthesize many extracellular enzymes including protease, lipase, chitinase and haemolysin(*Janda, 1985*). Several studies have suggested that at least two distinct haemolysins are produced by motile Aeromonads, an α -haemolysin, which is produced optimally at 22°C and causes incomplete haemolysis of erythrocytes and a β -haemolysin, which causes lysis of various species of erythrocytes and is obtained in highest yield from cultures grown at 37°C (*Thelestam and Ljungh, 1981*).

Aeromonas species, particularly Aeromonas hydrophila and Aeromonas sobria produce other range of potential virulence enterotoxins including cytotoxic and cytotonic enterotoxins. Three cytotonic enterotoxins have been also described, which act like cholera toxin(*Adams and Moss, 1995*).

Aeromonas species are capable of growing and producing toxins at refrigeration temperature (*Majeed et al.,1990 and Krovacek et al.,1991*). The ability of Aeromonas species to adhere to and invade epithelial cells are among the other virulence factors produced by these organisms that will explain the pathogenesis of infection (*Janda, 1991*).

Two types of gastrointestinal illness have been attributed to A. hydrophila and A.sobria (*Stelma, 1989*). The most common type is a choleralike illness, which is characterize by watery stools and mild fever and it is most commonly in children under five years old(*Adams and Moss, 1995*).

Aeromonas hydrophila is additionally associated with both diarrhoeal and extra-intestinal infection in human and it has become increasingly recognized as an enteric pathogens in recent years. Aeromonas species are common in a wide variety of foods including raw milk and milk products and Aeromonas hydrophila is the predominate species found in raw milk and dairy products. The presence of Aeromonas species in milk is of great concern because of their capability of growth at low temperature(*Ozbas et al., 2000*).

The increased availability of refrigerated ready-to eat foods offered by many food service sectors of the food industry, coupled with the known ability of Aeromonas species to grow at 4°C, has resulted in increased concern relative to public health hazards which may be associated with the consumption of these food (*Beuchat*, 1991).

Kafr El-Sheikh Vet. Med. J. Vol. 1 No. 2 (2003)

In this study, besides isolation and identification of Aeromonas species from three common dairy products, haemolytic activity, enterotoxigenicity test, proteolytic and lipolytic activities and antibiotics sensitivity of the isolated strains were also conducted.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

One hundred-fifty random samples of fresh cream, Whipped cream and Kareish cheese (50 each) were collected from different supermarkets and dairy shops in Zagazig city, Egypt in clean, dry,tightly stoppered and sterile sampling jar. The collected samples were immediately transferred to laboratory and examined for presence of Aeromonas species.

I-Detection and identification of Aeromonas species:

Preparation of samples was carried out according to *APHA (1992)*. From each previously prepared samples, 0.1 ml was inoculated onto a dry surface of starch ampicillin agar(*Palumboet al., 1985*) using surface plating technique at which, duplicate plates were used for each dilution.

The inoculated plates were incubated at 30°C for 24 hours. After incubation, the plates were flooded with half-strength lugol's iodine solution and amylase positive colonies (yellow colonies, 1-2 m.m in diameter and showed clear zone of starch hydrolysis) were counted as presumptive Aeromonas species. Suspected colonies of each sample were picked up onto nutrient agarslants for further identification according to *Popoff (1984)*.

II-Haemolytic activity (Rogulska et al., 1994):

B-haemolytic activity of 57Aeromonas strains was studied using 5.0% sheep blood agar. B-haemolysin production was detected by presence of zone of haemolysis around the growth after being incubated at 30°C for 24 hours.

III- Enterotoxin production:

Using the technique recommended by *Formal et al.(1961)*. The strains were tested as a whole cultures and stationary phase cultures were grown for 24hours at 37°C in 500 ml Erylenmyer flasks containing 20 ml of brain heart infusion broth. All strains were tested in two ileal loops, each loop was in a different rabbit.

Each loop of about 10cm length, was tied from one endafter inoculation of broth culture, the other end was tied then hanged in physiological saline. Strains were considered to be positive for enterotoxin production when the ratio of fluids (ml) to length of loop (cm) was >1.0.

IV-Production of lipase and protease:

Aeromonas isolates were subcultured on nutrient agar plates and incubated at30°C for 24 hours. Pure cultures were inoculated into nutrient broth and incubated overnight at 30°C before testing.

(a) Protease production (APHA, 1992):

The overnight pure cultures were spot inoculated onto skim milk agar plates. The inoculated plates were incubated at $21\pm1^{\circ}$ C for 72 hours and subsequently flooded with 10% acetic acid solution for one minute and the excess acid was decant. Colonies surrounded by clear zone were considered positive.

(b) Lipase production (Harrigan and McCance, 1976):

A sugar-free nutrient agar with emulsified butter fat and Victoria blue as indicator was inoculated with overnight pure cultures followed by incubation at 25°C for 7 days. Bright blue colonies were considered positive.

V-Antibiotic sensitivity of the isolated strains:

The susceptibility of each strain to 9 antibiotics was carried out by disc diffusion agar method according to method recommended by *National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standard (1997)*. The used inoculum contained 105 cfu/ml by adjusting turbidity of broth culture to matcha 0.5 Mcfarland Barium sulphate standard tubes.

Kafr El-Sheikh Vet. Med. J. Vol. 1 No. 2 (2003)

RESULTS

| Table (1): | Aeromonas | count/gran | n in ex | kamined | samples. |
|------------|---------------|------------|---------|------------|----------|
| | 1 ion onnonas | eound Sian | | i anni i a | sampres |

| Dairy product | No. of examined samples | Positive samplesNo. | | Positive samplesMax.No.% | | Average | Min. |
|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|----|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------|
| Fresh cream | 50 | 14 | 28 | 2.0×10^{6} | 4.5×10^4 | 4.4×10^2 | |
| Whipped cream | 50 | 9 | 18 | 6.2×10^3 | 3.4×10^4 | 4.0×10^2 | |
| Kareish cheese | 50 | 16 | 32 | 5.0×10^7 | 4.5×10^5 | 0.2×10^2 | |

| Table (| (2): | Incidence | of A | eromonas | species | int | the | examined | samples | (N=50). |
|----------|--------------|-----------|-------|--------------------|---------|-----|-----|----------|---------|------------|
| I abic (| /• · | mendemee | 01 11 | c ronnene s | species | 111 | | onumieu | Sumpies | (1, -3, 0) |

| Dairyproducts | Fresh cream | | Whipped cream | | Kareish cheese | | Total | |
|---------------|----------------|----|------------------|-------|-------------------|----|-------|-------|
| Isolates | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % |
| A.hydrophila | 8 | 16 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 16 | 20 | 13.33 |
| A.sobria | 9 | 18 | 5 | 10 | 12 | 24 | 26 | 17.33 |
| A.caviae | 7 | 14 | 7 | 14 | 10 | 20 | 24 | 16.00 |
| Total | 24 | 16 | 16 | 10.67 | 30 | 20 | 70 | 46.66 |

 Table (3): Haemolytic activity & enterotoxigenicity test of Aeromonas strains.

| Aeromonas strains | No. of tested | Hae ac | molytic tivity | Str causii accum | ains ng fluid nulation | Range of fluid volume | |
|-------------------|------------------|-----------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | strams | No. | % | No. | % | (IIII/CIII) | |
| A.hydrophila | 20 | 20 | 100.00 | 18 | 90.00 | 1.0 -1.9 | |
| A.sobria | 26 | 24 | 92.31 | 16 | 61.54 | 1.1 - 2.0 | |
| A.caviae | 24 | 10 | 41.67 | 10 | 41.67 | 1.0 - 1.8 | |

| Table | (4): | Proteolytic | and lipolytic | characteristics | of A | Aeromonas | species. |
|-------|------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|------|-----------|----------|
|-------|------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|------|-----------|----------|

| A aromanas strain | No. of tested | Proteolyt | ic activity | Lipolytic | | |
|-------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------|--|
| Aeromonas stram | strains | No. | % | No. | % | |
| A.hydrophila | 20 | 13 | 65.00 | 5 | 25.00 | |
| A.sobria | 26 | 8 | 30.77 | 2 | 7.65 | |
| A.caviae | 24 | 9 | 37.50 | 2 | 8.33 | |
| Total | 70 | 30 | 42.86 | 9 | 12.86 | |

Kafr El-Sheikh Vet. Med. J. Vol. 1 No. 2 (2003)

| Aeromonas strains | onas A. hydrophila (n=20) | | A. sob (n= | oria :26) | A. caviae (n=24) | | |
|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|-------|--|
| | Sensitiv | e strains | Sensitive | e strains | Sensitive strains | | |
| Antimicrobial agents | No. | % | No. | % | No. | % | |
| Ampicillin | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | |
| Streptomycin | 16 | 80.00 | 10 | 38.44 | 1 | 4.16 | |
| Chloramphenicol | 20 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 8.33 | |
| Erythromycin | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 2 | 8.33 | |
| Tetracyclcine | 20 | 100.00 | 8 | 30.77 | 5 | 20.83 | |
| Neomycin | 20 | 100.00 | 7 | 26.92 | 2 | 8.33 | |
| Nitrofuran | 12 | 60.00 | 6 | 23.08 | 3 | 12.50 | |
| Sulphadiazine | 13 | 65.00 | 3 | 11.54 | 1 | 4.16 | |
| Nalidixic acid | 14 | 70.00 | 2 | 7.69 | 0 | 0.00 | |

Table (5): Antibiotic resistance properties of isolated Aeromonas strains.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

Regarding to Table (1), the obtained results point out the levels of Aeromonas species in different milk products. Aeromonas species were detected in 28%, 18% and 32% of examined fresh cream, whipped cream and kareish cheese respectively. The average Aeromonas count was 4.5x104, 3.4x104 and 4.5x105 cfu/gram respectively.

Similar results were obtained by *Saad (1991) and Abdel-Hakiem (2000)*. While higher figures were reported by *Khalil (1997) and Nashwa and Isis(2001)*. The dairy products are considered as an important vehicle for the transmission of these organisms which are widely distributed in the environment.

It was clear from the results assembled in table (2) that A. hydrophila was detected in 16%,8% and 16% of examined fresh cream, whipped cream and kareish samples respectively. Nearly similar findings were reported by *Knochel and Jeppenesen (1990),El-Prince(1998) and Abdel-Hakiem (2000)* while *Nashwa and Isis(2001)* could detected A.hydrophila in lower percentages.

Presence of Aeromonas hydrophila in dairy products may be arised from contamination via natural sources as feeds, water, faeces, soil and milking equipment and this microorganism could contaminate udder via teats, then multiply and reach significant numbers and subsequently

Kafr El-Sheikh Vet. Med. J. Vol. 1 No. 2 (2003)

Dairy Products As A Source Of Potentially Pathogenic Aeromonas ...

discharge in milk. Moreover, transmission of motile Aeromonas species through a symptomatic carriers into food can also occur (*El-Shenawy and Marth*, 1990).

Regarding A. sobria and A. caviae were detected in 18% and 14%, 10% and 14% & 24% and 20% in examined dairy products respectively. These findings are nearly in accordance with results obtained by *El-Prince* (1998), *Abdel-Hakiem*(2000) and *Nashwa and Isis*(2001). Slightly higher percentages were declared by *Khalil* (1997).

Presence of Aeromonas species in examined dairy products could be attributed tobad quality of raw milk used, unsanitary manufacturing practices and/orimproper methods of handling and distribution. Furthermore, storage condition of cream could also increase contamination, since Aeromonas species can grow at refrigeration temperature (*Palumbo et al., 1986, Callister and Agger, 1987 and Tibana et al., 1987*).

The low salt content, higher pH value and high moisture content of Kareish cheese might be implicated in increasing Aeromonas species population in examined samples(*Palumbo,et al.,1986 and Santos et al.,1996*). There are three types of human illness associated with Aeromonas species including extra-intestinal, wound infection and food-associated gastroint-estinal infection that may be present as toxigenic rice water small intestinal diarrhoea, classical dysentery involving the large intestine or combination of both (*Hobbs and Roberts, 1993*).

The results assembled in table (3) declared that 100%, 92.31% and 41.67% of A.hydrophila, A.sobria and A.caviae produced haemolysin. Nearly similar findings were reported by *Okrend et al.*(1987) and Abdel-Hakiem (2000).

Recentatention has focused on the β -haemolysin of motile Aeromonas species because of its potential diagnostic and pathogenic significance. Several groups of workers have demonstrated a significant association between extracellular β -haemolysin production and development of enterotoxigenic like activity (*Burke et al., 1982 and Turnbull, 1984*).

The data of the present study(Table 3)indicate that 90%,61.54% and 41.67% of A. hydrophila A. sobria and A. caviae produced enterotoxin as judged by fluid accumulation in rabbit ileal loop. These findings are in agreement with that reported by *Krovacek et al.* (1992). It is clear that A. hydrophila and A.sobria caused significantly more fluid accumulation than A. caviae and that indicated higher enterotoxigenic activity, which explain

Kafr El-Sheikh Vet. Med. J. Vol. 1 No. 2 (2003)

the less frequent detection of enterotoxigenic activity in A. caviae strains (*Bruke et al., 1982, Majeed et al., 1989 and Barer et al., 1996*).

Concerning the production of spoilage enzymes in table(4), Aeromonas hydrophila was predominating other Aeromonas species for proteolytic and lipolytic activities comprising 65% and 25% respectively. While A.caviae and A.sobria comprising 37.50%, 30.77% and 8.33, 7.65 for proteolytic and lipolytic activities, respectively.

These results agree with that reported by *Abdel-Khalek (1997) and Abdel-Hakiem(2000)*. Extracellular proteinases and lipases of Aeromonas species are recognized to be the primary microbial spoilage enzymes of dairy products (*Fairbairn and Law, 1986 and Stelma, 1989*). Aeromonas species secrete thermostable proteinase which capable of lysis of milk protein (*Richardson and Tewhaiti, 1978*).

Table(5)shows the antibiotic sensitivity of Aeromonas species against 9antimicrobial agents and the results revealed that A.hydrophila was100% sensitive to Chloramphenicol, Tetracycline and Neomycin, while it was 100% resistant to Ampicillin and erythromycin.

Aeromonas sobria was completely resistant to Ampicillin, Chloramphenicol and Erythromycin, while A. caviae was resistant to most of the antibiotics used. Similar findings were declared by *Krovacek et al.*(1992).

In conclusion, proper heat treatment, applying good sanitary manufacturing practices and proper methods of handling and distribution are extremely important to prevent consumers from being infected by Aeromonas species.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Hakiem, E.H. (2000): Prevalence and characteristics of Aeromonas species in some dairy products. SCVMJ, III (1): 357-368.
- *Adams M.R. and Moss, M.O. (1995):* Food Microbiology. The Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge.
- Abdel-Khalek A. (1997): Enumeration and characterization of Aeromonas species isolated from farm bulk milk in Mansoura, Egypt. Alex. J. Vet. Sci, 13 (2): 35-40.

Kafr El-Sheikh Vet. Med. J. Vol. 1 No. 2 (2003)

- American Public Health Association (APHA) (1 methods for the examination of dairy products. 16th Lui, 1997, 1998.
- *Barer M.R., Millership, S.E. and Tabaqchali, S. (1996):* Relationship of toxin production to species in the genus Aeromonas. J. Med. Microbiol, 22: 303-309.
- *Beuchat, L.R. (1991):* Behaviour of Aeromonas species at refrigeration temp-eratures. Internat. J. Food Microbiol. 13: 217-224.
- Burke V.; Robinson J.; Atkinson H.M. and Gracey, M. (1982): Biochemical characteristics of enterotoxigenic Aeromonas species.J. Clinic.Microbiol. 15: 48-52.
- *Callister, S.M. and Agger, W.A. (1987):* Enumeration and characterization of Aeromonas hydrophila isolated from grocery store products. Appl.Environ. Microbiol., 53: 249-253.
- *El-Prince, Enas*(1998): Incidence and characterization of Aeromonas species in Damiati and Kareish Cheese sold in Assiut Province. Assuit Vet. Med.J.39 No. 78: 184-193.
- *El-Shanawy, M.A. and Marth, E.H. (1990):* Aeromonas hydrophila in foods: A review. Egyptian J. Dairy Sci, 18: 219-234.
- *Fairbairn, D.J.and Law, B.A. (1986):* Proteinases of psychrotrophic bacteria. Their production, properties, effects and control. J. Dairy Res. 53: 193-197.
- *Formal S.B., Kundel-Schneider H., Kunev N. and Sprinz, H. (1961):* Studies with Vibrio cholera in the ligated loop of rabbit intestine. Br. J.Exp.Path. 42: 504-510.
- *Harrigan*, *W.F. and McCance*, *M.E.* (1976): Laboratory methods in food and dairy microbiology. Academic Press, London.
- *Hobbs, B.C. and Roberts, D. (1993):* Food poisoning and food hygiene. Pp 34-35. San. Diego, California 92105 USA.
- Janda, J.M. (1985): Biochemical and exoenzymatic properties of Aeromonas species. Diagnosis Microbiology and infectious disease, 3: 223-232.

Kafr El-Sheikh Vet. Med. J. Vol. 1 No. 2 (2003)

- Janda, J.M. (1991): Recent advances in the study of taxonomy, pathogenicity and infectious syndromes associated with the genus Aeromonas. Clin. Microbiol. Rev., 4: 397-410.
- Khalil N.Gh. (1997): Incidence of Aeromonas hydrophila group in row milk and some dairy products in Acquit aity. Acquit Vet. Med. J.
- *Knochel, S. and Jeppenesen, C. (1990):* Distribution and characteristics of Aeromonas in food and drinking water in Denmark. In.J.Food Microbiol.10: 317-322.
- *Krovacek, K., Faris, A. and Mansson I. (1991):* Growth of and toxin production by Aeromonas hydrophila and A. sobria at low temperatures. In. J. Food Microbiol, 13: 165-176.
- *Krovacek,K.,Faris,A., Suraj, B., Peterz,M. and Mansson, I. (1992):* Preval-ence and characterization of Aeromonas spp. isolated from food sin Uppsala, Sweden. J. Food Microbiol, 9: 29-36.
- *Ljungh, A.H. (1984):* Toxins of Aeomonas hydrophila.Febrenbach. J.E.et al. (eds.) Bacterial proteins toxins. Academic Prss, London, pp. 309-315.
- *Majeed, K.N., Egan, A.F. and MacRae, I.C. (1989):* Incidence of Aeromonads in samples form an abattoir processing lambs. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 67:597-604.
- *Majeed, K.N., Egan, A.F. and MacRae, I.C. (1990):* Production of exotoxins by Aeromans spp. at 5°C. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 69: 332-337.
- *Nashwa,M.H.and Isis,G.A. (2001):* Prevalence of Aeromoans species in milk and some milk products. Egypt. Vet. Med. Ass. 61, No. 4: 209-216.
- *National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards(1997):* Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk Susceptibility Tests. M2-A6.Villanova,PA: National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. Vol. 17 No. 1.

Kafr El-Sheikh Vet. Med. J. Vol. 1 No. 2 (2003)

- *Okrend*, *A.J.G.*, *Rose B.E. and Beunett B. (1987):* Incidence and toxigenicity of Aeromonas species in retail poultry, beef and pork.J. Food Prot.50,509-513.
- *Ozbas, Z.Y., Lehner,A. and Wagner, M.(2000):* Development of a multiplex and semi-nested PCR assay for detection of Yersinia enterocolitic and Aerom-onas hydrophila in raw milk. Food Microbiol, 17: 197-203.
- Palumbo, S.A., Maxino, F., Williams, A.C., Buchanan, R.L. and Thayer, D.W. (1985): Starch ampicillin agar of the quantitative detection of Aeromonas hyd-rophila. Appl. Environ. Microbiol, 50: 1027-1030.
- *Palumbo*, *S.A.*, *Morgan*, *D.R.and Buchanan*, *R.L.*(1986): Influence of tempe-rature, NaCl and pH on the growth of Aerc Science, 50: 1417-1421.
- *Popoff, M. (1984):* Aeromonas. Pp. 545-548. In Krieg, N.R. and Holt, J.G. (eds), Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology, Vol. 1. The Williams and Wilkins Co., Baltimore.
- *Richardson, B.C. and Tewhaiti, I.E. (1978):* Partial characterization of heat-stable extracellular proteases of some psychrotrophic bacteria from raw milk. New Zealand. J. Dairy Sci. Technol. 13: 172-176.
- Santos, J.A., Lopez-Diaz, T.M., Garcia-Fernandez, M.C. and Otevo, A. (1996): Villation, a fresh ewe's milk Spanish cheese as a source of potentially patho-genic Aeromonas strains. J. Food Prot., 59: 1288-1291.
- *Saad N. (1991)*:Occurrence of Aeromonas hydrophila in raw milk. Assuit Vet. Med. J. 25 (50): 98-102.
- *Stelma G.N.Jr. (1989):* Aeromonas hydrophila. IN: M.P. Doyle (ed.) Food-borne Bacterial Pathogens. Marcel Dkker, Inc., New York, 1-19.
- *Thelestam, M. and Lyungh A. (1981):* Membrane damaging and cytotoxic effects on human fibroblasts of alpha and beta haemolysin from Aeromonas hydrophila. Infection and Immunity, 34: 949-956.

Kafr El-Sheikh Vet. Med. J. Vol. 1 No. 2 (2003)

- *Tibana, A., Warnken, M.B., Nunes, M.P., Ricciardi, I.D. and Noleto, A.L.S. (1987):* Occurrence of Yersinia species in raw and pasteurized milk in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. J. Food. Prot.50: 380-383.
- *Turnbull P.C.B. (1984):* Enterotoxin production in relation to taxonomic grouping and source of isolation of Aeromonas species.J. Clinical Microbiol, 19: 175-180.

منتجات الألبان كمصدر لميكروب الايروموناس المسبب للأمراض الكامنة وخصائصه الهامة

د. عصمت ابراهيم عوض ، * د. ثناء محمد الشايب ، * – د. مديحه عبد الله أيوب قسم مراقبة الأغذية – كلية الطب البيطرى – جامعة الزقازيق *قسم الميكروپيولوجيا (بكتريولوجيا) – كلية الطب البيطرى – جامعة طنطا فرع كفر الشيخ

تم تجميع 50 عينة من كل من القشدة الطازجة والقشدة المخفوقة والجبن القريش لدراسة مدى تواجد ميكروب الايروموناس وقد تم عزل ميكروب الايروموناس من 28% و 18% و 22% من عينات القشدة الطازجة والقشدة المخفوقة والجبن القريش على التوالي بينما تم عزل ميكروب الايروموناس هيدروفيلا من 16% و 8% و 16% من العينات المفحوصة على التوالي أما بالنسبة للقدرة على إفراز الإنزيم المحلل لخلايا الدم فقد وجد أن 100% و 92.30% و 41.67% من ميكروب الايروموناس هيدروفيلا والايروموناس سوبريا والايروموناس كافي على التوالي لهم القدرة على إفراز هذا الإنزيم بينما كان 90% و 15.66% و 16.5% من ميكروبات الايروموناس هيدروفيلا و الايروموناس سوبريا والايروموناس كافي كان لها القدرة على إنتاج السموم المعوية.

وعند دارسة قدرة ميكروبات الايروموناس على إفراز الإنزيمات المحللة لكلا من البروتينات والدهون فقد وجد أن ميكروب الايروموناس هيدروفيلا يفوق الأنواع الأخرى من الايروموناس فى القدرة على تحليل البروتينات والدهون.

وعند إجراء اختبار الحساسية للمضادات الحيوية فقد وجد أن كل ميكروبات الايروموناس هيدروفيلا كانت حساسة للمضادات الحيوية الآتية: الكلورامفينيكول والتتراسيكلين و النيوميسين ، بينما كانت جميع معزولات الايروموناس سوبريا مقاومة تماما لكل من الكلورامفينيكول والامبسلين والارثروميسين.