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ABSTRACT

Two hundred and twenty chicken eggs were utilized in this experiment. The eggs were randomly divided into two main groups; the first dipped group includes 100 fertile eggs, which was subdivided into 5 subgroups, each of 20 fertile eggs. Two subgroups were dipped in Biocid-30 diluted 1:300 for one and 5 minutes respectively. Another two subgroups were dipped in Biocid-30 diluted 1:400 for one and 5 minutes respectively. The last subgroup was used as control and dipped for 5 minutes in distilled water. The second main inoculated group contains 120 fertile eggs and subdivided into three subgroups. The first one (50 eggs) inoculated intra air sac by Biocid-30 diluted (1:300), while the second subgroup (50 eggs) treated in the same manner with Biocid-30 diluted 1:400. The last 20 eggs were inoculated with sterile saline solution and considered as a control group. Treatments were done at the first day of incubation, which carried out at 37°C. On 21st day of incubation, all eggs under study were examined and chicks were investigated for teratogenicity. The results showed marked decrease in hatchability, livability and chick size in all treated subgroups. Also there was a significant increase in dead and resorped chicks percents in all dilutions and periods. The teratological studies revealed a high percentage of skeletal malformations in all treatments especially in inoculation group which show incomplete ossification of frontal and carpus bones, beside absence of patella, curled caudal and pygostyle vertebrae. Concerning visceral abnormalities, the organs size was reduced especially liver with absence of nasal septum and conchae in addition to absence of palatine shelf.
INTRODUCTION

Diseases and infections have always been a major concern to the poultry industry, especially in the hatchery. Fortunately, microbial contamination can be prevented and controlled by using proper management and disinfections. Most of disinfectants are toxic not only for microbial parasites but also for host cells as well. Therefore, they may be used to reduce the microbial population in the inanimate environment but they can usually be applied only topically not systemically, to humans (Bertram, 1989).

Biocid-30 is one of Iodophore disinfectants that used in cleaning and disinfection of all types of livestock, poultry, processing equipment and surgical instruments. It is used in a dilution of 1:400 for sanitary footbaths on farms and hatcheries and in a dilution of 1:600 for hatcheries and disinfection of fertile eggs before hatching (Pfizer Company, 1997).

Christensen and Ort (1991) found that supplementing 35 or 350 ppm iodine to the basal diet of large white turkey breeder hens resulted in toxic effects on hatchability, depressed egg weights, egg production and eggshell water vapor conductance. Embryonic mortality data indicated that toxic effects of iodine on embryos occur principally during the 1st week of incubation and immediately prior to and during pipping.

On the same line, when iodide administered to poultry in the diet at a dose level of 300 ppm, it produces weakness, anorexia, muscular defects, diarrhea and tendency to peck at toes and wing tips. Post mortem picture showed muscular atrophy, degeneration of the liver and kidney with extensive oedema (Katz, 1962).

Further more, Perdomo et. al., (1966) found that when White Leghorn hens were fed on diets containing iodide 312- 5000 ppm for 28 days in a practical laying diet with egg production and hatchability determination. Egg production ceased within the first week in hens fed...
the highest level and production was reduced at lower levels. Fertility of eggs produced was not affected but early embryonic death, reduced hatchability and delayed hatching were resulted.

On the same context, Arrington et. al., (1967) were fed iodides to sexually mature White Leghorn pullets and hens. Dietary intake was in concentration of 625, 1250, 1875, 2500 and 5000 ppm for 6 weeks. The results were decreasing egg production with increase level of iodine until it becomes ceased with 5000 ppm concentration. The egg fertility was not affected but high embryonic death, low hatchability and delayed hatching were observed.

When 50-hatched eggs were immersed in iodophor compound (4ml/ liter of water), absence of coccygeal and pygostyle bones were the malformations appeared (Alkelch, 1985).

Concerning phosphoric acid, and other salts as monosodium phosphate and tetra sodium pyrophosphate were found to produce teratogenic effects when injected during the period of organogenesis in chicken embryos. Several different types of anomalies were observed, mostly structural (Verrett, 1980).

In addition, acidification is associated with increased mortality, reduced growth and bone abnormalities in birds where dietary sulfuric acid levels of 0.122 and 0.56 mol H+ per kg feed reduce growth and bone mineralization (tibia weight, ash, calcium, phosphorus and plasma concentration of vitamin D3) in chicks (Capdevielle, 1998).

On the other side, NTP (National Toxicology Program, 1998) reported that sulfuric acid was not teratogenic in mice and rabbits, but was slightly embryotoxic in rabbits (a minor, rare skeletal variation) where the animals were exposed to 5 and 20 mg/m3 for 7-hours/ day through out the pregnancy. Previously, Hoffman and Campbell, (1977) were reported that application of sulfuric acid aerosol for chicken eggs result in only a slight decrease in embryonic weight and serum LDH activity with no other apparent effects.
The aim of the present study is to investigate the toxic and teratogenic effect of Biocid-30 on hatchability and chick embryo and to detect the results if this disinfectant misused in hatcheries by higher concentration than already used in field.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Two hundred and twenty fertile eggs from Balady hens (obtained from Poultry Farm of Agriculture college, Tanta University, Kafr El Sheikh branch) were maintained at 30C°-37C° in incubator. Eggs were candled and proved to be fertile. The selected eggs were classified into 2 main groups.

The first main group (100 fertile eggs) subdivided into:

**Group (I):** (20 fertile eggs) was dipped in Biocid-30 (Iodine, 2.75%, Phosphoric acid, 9.5%, Sulphoric acid, 9.3% and non ionized substances, 24.2% - Pfizer company for drugs and chemicals, Egypt) diluted to 1:300 for 1 minute.

**Group (II):** (20 fertile eggs) was dipped in Biocid-30 diluted to 1:300 for 5 minutes.

**Group (III):** (20 fertile eggs) was dipped in Biocid-30 diluted to 1:400 for 1 minute.

**Group IV** (20 fertile eggs) was dipped in Biocid-30 diluted to 1:400 for 5 minutes.

**Group (V):** (20 fertile eggs) was dipped in distilled water as a control group.

The second main group (120 fertile eggs) subdivided into:

**Group (A):** (50 fertile eggs) air sac inoculated with Biocid-30 (1:300).

**Group (B):** (50 fertile eggs) air sac inoculated with Biocid-30 (1:400).

**Group (C):** (20 fertile eggs) air sac inoculated with 1 ml saline sol. as a control.
The tested compound was inoculated (1 ml/ 50 gm egg weight) using sterile technique into the air sac in the first day of incubation and then the hole in the shell was sealed with paraffin (melting point 56-57°C).

On the 21st day of incubation, the chicks were removed from incubator and adopted for morphological examination (hatchability %, live and dead %, body weight and body length from the tip of the spine to the top of the skull as described by Alkelch, 1992 in addition to every remarkable abnormalities and character of feather. The chicks were then examined for teratogenicity (Hayes, 1986).

The statistical analysis of the data was carried out according to statistical analysis system (SAS, 1987).

RESULTS

Morphological examination showed reduction of feathering of hatched chicks as well as delayed hatching time where some eggs were hatched after 1-2 days of normal hatching time especially in inoculated groups.

Table (1,2) shows a significant difference (p< 0.05) in size of chicks of treated groups (I, II, III, IV, A, B) as compared with control groups (V, C) where the body weight was 40±1.34 in group I (eggs dipped in Biocid-30, 1/300 for 1 minute), 37±1.12 in group II (eggs dipped in Biocid-30, 1/300 for 5 minutes), 43.5±1.22 in group III (eggs dipped in Biocid-30, 1/400 for 1 minute) and 41±1.24 gm in group IV (eggs dipped in Biocid-30, 1/400 for 5 minutes) but was 49.5±1.3 gm in control group (V).
In inoculation treatment, group A (eggs inoculated with Biocid-30, 1/300), the weight was 28±1.03 and 30±1.14 gm in group B (eggs inoculated with Biocid-30, 1/400) but was 49±1.23 gm in control group (C).

Also the body length was 7.5±0.24 cm in group I and 7.1±0.11, 8.1±0.14 and 7.6±0.13 cm in groups II, III and VI respectively but was 8.9±0.21 cm in control group (V).

In inoculation groups, the length was 5.9±0.13 and 6.3±0.21 cm in group A and B respectively whether the length was 8.7±0.14 cm in control group (C).

More over, table (3,4) show significant decrease in hatchability percent (70, 55, 80, 75) in dipping groups (I, II, III, IV respectively) in comparison with control group (V) in which the hatchability percent was 95. Also the inoculation groups (A, B) show more significant decrease in hatchability percent (12, 18 respectively) when compared with the control one (90%). Further more, there were decreased live percent and increased dead percent in all treated groups when compared with control groups. The resorption percent was very high and appears in dipping and more seriously in inoculation groups.

Concerning the teratogenic effect of Biocid-30, there was high percent of skeletal abnormalities in all treatments including incomplete ossification of frontal and carpus bones, absence of patella and curled pygostyl and caudal vertebrae. The abnormalities were higher in percentage and more obvious in inoculation groups. The visceral abnormalities appeared in all treated groups especially inoculation groups in the form of reduced organs weight especially liver, absence of nasal septum and conchae , in addition to absence of palatine shelf.
Table (1): Reduction of chick weight and length of Biocid-30 dipped eggs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Body weight (gm)</th>
<th>Body length (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>40±1.34&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.7±0.24&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>37 ±1.12&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.1±0.11&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group III</td>
<td>43.5±1.22&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.3±0.14&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group VI</td>
<td>41±1.24&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.6±0.13&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group V</td>
<td>49.5±1.3&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.9±0.21&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**N.B.**
- Values in the same column with different superscripts vary significantly at P< 0.05.
- Group V = Control group in dipping treatment.

Table (2): Reduction of chick weight and length of Biocid-30 inoculated eggs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Body weight (gm)</th>
<th>Body length (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>28±1.03&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.8±0.13&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>30±1.14&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.5±0.21&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group C</td>
<td>49±1.23&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.9±0.14&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**N.B.**
- Values in the same column with different superscripts vary significantly at P< 0.05.
- Group C = Control group in inoculation treatment.

Table (3): Percent of different abnormalities obtained in dipping treatment compared with non treated group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Hatchability%</th>
<th>Live%</th>
<th>Dead%</th>
<th>Resorption%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>85.71</td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>72.73</td>
<td>27.23</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group III</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group VI</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group V</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>89.47</td>
<td>10.53</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**N.B.**
- Group V = Control group in dipping treatment
**Table (4):** Percent of different abnormalities obtained in inoculation treatment compared with non treated group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Hatchability %</th>
<th>Live %</th>
<th>Dead %</th>
<th>Resorption %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16.66</td>
<td>83.44</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22.22</td>
<td>77.88</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group C</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>88.89</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Group C = Control group in inoculation treatment

**Table (5):** Teratogenic effect of Biocid-30 on chicks (dipping groups).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Skeletal abnormalities %</th>
<th>Visceral abnormalities %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Incomplete ossification (I.O) of frontal bone</td>
<td>LO of carpus bone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>76.42</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>81.50</td>
<td>70.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group III</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>61.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group IV</td>
<td>74.66</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group V</td>
<td>zero</td>
<td>zero</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table (6):** Teratogenic effect of Biocid-30 on chicks (inoculation groups).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Skeletal abnormalities %</th>
<th>Visceral abnormalities %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Incomplete ossification (I.O) of frontal bone</td>
<td>LO of carpus bone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group A</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group B</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group C</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig (1): Chicken embryo of Biocid-30 (1/300) dipped fertile egg for 5 minutes (right) showing: incomplete ossification of frontal bone and beak-shaped pyramidal face, compared with the control chicken embryo (left).

Fig (2): Chicken embryo of Biocid-30 (1/300) inoculated fertile egg (right) showing: absence of patella and curled pygostyle and caudal vertebrae, compared with the control chicken embryo (left).
Fig (3): Chicken embryo of Biocid-30 (1/400) dipped fertile egg for 5 minutes (right) showing: incomplete ossification of carpus bones, compared with the control chicken embryo (left).
Fig (4): Chicken embryo of Biocid-30 (1/400) inoculated fertile egg (right) showing: remarkable decrease in size, compared with the control chicken embryo (left).

Fig (5): Liver of chicken embryo of Biocid-30 (1/300) dipped fertile egg for 1 minute (right) showing: remarkable decrease in size, compared with the control chicken embryo liver (left).
**DISCUSSION**

Teratogenicity in chick embryos was classified into two groups according to its harmful effect. Some of them may cause macroscopical abnormalities and others cause microscopical malformations (*Kalow and Marton, 1961* and *Mosceoni et. al., 1977*). Both types of teratogenic effects were observed in the present study. The most critical period for teratogenesis in our work was the first week of incubation (the period of differentiation into muscle, cartilage, bone and connective tissue), *Hamburger and Hamilton, (1951)*

The delayed egg hatching may be due to high iodine level where iodine injection prior to incubation result in a significantly greater
thyroid gland weight on day 18 of incubation and a delay in hatching (Guo et. al., 1998).

It is the fact that, the pores in the eggshell are essential to the development of embryos in hatching eggs as they allow the exchange of respiratory gasses and water vapor conductance (Burton and Tullet, 1983). Since, the cuticle, which is glycoprotein in nature, covers the pores, it is considered as physical barrier to liquid water and foreign particles penetration (Broad and Fuller, 1994). It is generally agreed that, the only route available for antiseptics to penetrate the intact shell of the avian egg is via pores (Board and Board, 1967). Also careful studies by Favier et. al.,(2001) indicated that detergents could have a deleterious effect on the cuticle thus enhancing bacterial and foreign materials transmission across the shell. The eggshell is more sensitive to acidic condition. Regarding Biocid-30 components, sulfuric and phosphoric acids constitute the main components and increasing the acidity on the eggshell.

The embroyotoxic effect of the iodine compounds may be referred to mutagenic effect of iodine antiseptics (Wlodkowski, et. al., 1975) and the bad effect of iodine on the viability of chicken embryos, Youseif et. al., (2000). It is fact that embryonic body weight and crown- rump length faithfully echo the embryonic development coupled with the concept that many chemicals may destroy cellular active DNA and so reduced biosynthesis of essential components, like protein and energy sources (ATP and NAD/NADH) (Haschek and Rousseaux, 1993). Given the previous tapestry, we are led to believe that the highly significant decrease in both embryonic weights and lengths, in addition to decreased organs size seen with the Biocid-30 treatments may referred to the effect of iodine which capable of modifying the DNA of the living cells (Wlodkowski et. al., 1975).

Concerning skeletal malformations appeared in this research, they may be due to high acidity caused by phosphoric and sulfuric acids in Biocid-30 where acidification is associated with increased mortality,
reduced growth and bone abnormalities in birds. These effects noticed by Capdevielle et. al., (1998) in chicks on the high acid (sulfuric, 0.122 and 0.56 mol H+ per kg feed) diet where there were marked decrease in bone growth, mineralization (tibia weight, ash, calcium, phosphorus and plasma concentration of vit. D₃)
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التآثِر السَمي لمطهر البيوسيد - 30 على أجنة الطيور

غادة محمود جمعة، طارق أحمد عمد الهادى، أبو النصر أبو زهرة

قسم الفارماكولوجيا والطب الشرعي والسموم - كلية الطب البيطرى بكفر الشيخ / جامعة طنطا

أجريت هذه الدراسة لمعرفة التآثِر السَمي و التشوهات الجنينية التي قد يسببها مطهر البيوسيد - 30 إذا ما تم استخدامه بتركيز أكبر من المتعارف عليه في المفرخات.
Toxicological Effect Of The Disinfectant Biocid-30 On…

Ghada M. Gomaa, Yosef T.A., et.al.


97